

AGENDA

Marches Enterprise Joint Committee

Date: **Wednesday 20 July 2016**

Time: **9.30 am**

Place: **Committee Room 1, The Shire Hall, St Peter's Square, Hereford HR1 2HX**

Notes: Please note the time, date and venue of the meeting.

For any further information please contact:

Governance Services

Tel: 01432 260239

Email: councillorservices@herefordshire.gov.uk

If you would like help to understand this document, or would like it in another format, please call Governance Services on 01432 260239 or e-mail councillorservices@herefordshire.gov.uk in advance of the meeting.

Agenda for the Meeting of the Marches Enterprise Joint Committee

Members:

Chairman

Councillor AW Johnson

Herefordshire Council

Councillor S Davies

Telford and Wrekin Council

Councillor M Pate

Shropshire Council

Councillor AW Johnson

Herefordshire Council

Non Voting Member

Mr G Wynn OBE

Chairman of the Local Enterprise Partnership

AGENDA

| | Pages |
|---|---------|
| <p>1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE To receive apologies for absence.</p> | |
| <p>2. NAMED SUBSTITUTES (IF ANY) To receive details of any Executive Member nominated to attend the meeting in place of the voting Member representing their Authority.</p> | |
| <p>3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST To receive any declarations of interest by members of the Committee in respect of items on the agenda.</p> | |
| <p>4. MINUTES To approve and sign the Minutes of the meeting held on 31 May 2016.</p> | 5 - 8 |
| <p>5. WEST MIDLANDS COMBINED AUTHORITY - NON-CONSTITUENT MEMBERSHIP To set out the case for the Marches LEP becoming a “Non-Constituent” Member of the West Midlands Combined Authority (WMCA) and the potential long term economic growth benefits to the Marches LEP in so doing.</p> | 9 - 12 |
| <p>EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC AND PRESS</p> | |
| <p>RECOMMENDATION: that under section 100(A)(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting for the following item of business during any discussion of the appendix to the report on the grounds that it involves the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Schedule 12(A) of the Act, as indicated below and it is considered that the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">3 Information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person</p> | |
| <p>6. NEW GROWTH DEAL SUBMISSION To agree the bid for funding support from the local growth fund to support economic growth across the Marches.</p> | 13 - 36 |

HEREFORDSHIRE COUNCIL

MINUTES of the meeting of Marches Enterprise Joint Committee held at Craven Arms Community Centre, Newington Way, Craven Arms, Shropshire SY7 9PS on Tuesday 31 May 2016 at 10.00 am

Present: Councillor AW Johnson (Chairman)

Councillors: A McClements and M Pate

Non-voting Member: Mr G Wynn OBE

In attendance: Councillor S Charmley

Officers: Gill Hamer (Marches LEP Director) , Richard Ball (Assistant Director – Environment and Place, Herefordshire Council), Kathy Mulholland (Inward Investment and Business Support Delivery Manager, Telford and Wrekin Council), and Claire Ward (Solicitor to the Council, Herefordshire Council).

1. ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN

RESOLVED: That Councillor AW Johnson be elected Chairman for the ensuing year.

2. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

It was noted that, subsequent to the publication of the agenda papers, Councillor S Davies had replaced Councillor K Sahota as Leader of Telford and Wrekin Council and had accordingly become that Council's representative on the Marches Enterprise Joint Committee.

Apologies were received from Councillor S Davies.

3. NAMED SUBSTITUTES

Councillor A McClements substituted for Councillor S Davies.

4. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

5. MINUTES

The Solicitor reported in relation to Minute number 7 of the draft Minutes of the meeting held on 15 February that the figures quoted in relation to the project from SBC training had been transposed. Approval had, as stated, been sought for a total allocation of £50k, but with a capital equipment element of £40k, and with an element for fit out of £10k being conditional on provision of satisfactory detailed figures. Those detailed figures had subsequently been provided satisfactorily. The Minute needed to be amended accordingly.

RESOLVED: That the Minutes of the meeting held on 15 February 2016, as amended, be agreed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

6. MARCHES ACCOUNTABILITY AND ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK

The Committee was asked to approve the Marches Accountability and Assurance Framework.

The Marches LEP Director presented the report. She highlighted how the framework had been updated as described at paragraph 4 of the report.

RESOLVED: That the Marches Accountability and Assurance Framework attached at appendix 1 of the report be approved.

7. ANNUAL REPORT OF THE MARCHES ENTERPRISE JOINT COMMITTEE

The Committee was asked to approve an annual report of the first year of activity of the marches enterprise joint committee.

The Marches LEP Director presented the report.

It was requested that the partner councils be asked to promote the annual report on their websites.

RESOLVED:

That: (a) the annual report be approved; and

(b) the approved report be forwarded to the three partner councils' chief executives for reporting in accordance with their respective councils' constitutions.

8. FUNDING SUBMISSION TO DFT LOCAL TRANSPORT MAJORS FUND

The Committee was invited to approve the submission of bids to the Local Transport Majors Fund.

The Marches LEP Director presented the report. She confirmed that the LEP Board at their meeting on 24 May had recommended the submission of the following Schemes: Hereford bypass and Shrewsbury north west relief road, as set out at paragraph 8 of the report.

It was noted that decisions relating to the progression of any bids would be a matter for the relevant executives of the two relevant authorities.

RESOLVED: That: the submission of the following bids by the Marches LEP to the local transport majors fund be approved: Hereford bypass and Shrewsbury north west relief road.

9. THE MARCHES LEP MANUFACTURING SKILLS CAPITAL PROJECT

The Committee considered granting preferred bidder status to the organisations named in the exempt appendix A to the report and agreeing arrangements to award contracts for funding under the Marches Growth Deal skills capital fund.

The Marches LEP Director presented the report to consider preferred bidders.

Exclusion of public

RESOLVED: That under section 100(A)(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting for the following item of business during discussion of the appendix to the report on the grounds that it involved the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Schedule 12(A) of the Act, relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person and it was considered that the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighed the public interest in disclosing the information.

(The following is the complete minute.)

The Committee discussed the proposals. The Marches LEP Director highlighted that further negotiations with the preferred bidders would take place with a view to utilising the remaining balance of the skills capital funding of £2.8m.

RESOLVED:

- That:**
- (a) preferred bidder status be awarded and the detailed recommendations as set out in exempt Appendix A be approved; and**
 - (b) authority be delegated to the Marches LEP Director following consultation with the Marches LEP Accountable Section 151 Officer to conclude contract negotiations and award contracts to preferred bidders within the financial envelope set out in the financial implications to the report.**

The meeting ended at 10.30 am

CHAIRMAN



| Marches Enterprise Joint Committee | |
|---|--|
| Meeting date: | 20 July 2016 |
| Title of report: | West Midlands Combined Authority – Non Constituent Membership |

Classification

Open

Key Decision

This is not a key decision.

Purpose

To set out the case for the Marches LEP becoming a “Non-Constituent” Member of the West Midlands Combined Authority (WMCA) and the potential long term economic growth benefits to the Marches LEP in so doing.

Recommendation(s)

THAT:

- a) the Marches LEP applies to become a Non-Constituent Member of the West Midlands Combined Authority (WMCA) at a cost of £25k for 2016/17; and
- b) the Chair of the Marches LEP, or his nominated substitute, be authorised to represent the LEP at the WMCA board and subgroups as appropriate as a non-constituent member.

Reasons for the Recommendations

- 1 To ensure that the Marches LEP is in the best possible position to continue to secure government funding to support the growth aspirations of the LEP area and to influence regional policy as appropriate

Summary

West Midlands Combined Authority (WMCA)

- 2 The Government agreed a Devolution Deal with the WMCA Shadow Board on 17 November 2015. A copy of the Deal can be found on the WMCA website

<https://westmidlandscombinedauthority.org.uk>

Further information on the subject of this report is available from
Gill Hamer, Director, Marches LEP, email gill.hamer@marcheslep.org.uk

- 3 The aim of the West Midlands Metropolitan Authorities in creating WMCA was to ensure that the transport, economic development and regeneration functions across the West Midlands could be strategically coordinated and integrated. A Combined Authority also offers the platform for devolution of powers to the WMCA which will enable it to align the devolved programmes and funding streams to local priorities. The Devolution agreement between the WMCA and Government will see it make a projected annual contribution worth £40 million for 30 years to support an overall investment package that will unlock £8 billion, alongside the creation of up to half a million jobs.
- 4 The WMCA came into being on 1 June 2016 and published its Strategic Economic Plan on 10 June 2016. The Constituent Members of the WMCA are the seven Metropolitan Authorities of Birmingham, Coventry, Dudley, Sandwell, Solihull, Walsall and Wolverhampton. The Non-Constituent Members are the three Local Enterprise Partnerships of Black Country, Birmingham and Solihull and Coventry and Warwickshire and 7 Councils – Cannock Chase, Nuneaton & Bedworth, Redditch, Tamworth, Telford and Wrekin and most recently Stratford-on-Avon District Council and Shropshire Council. Applications were also considered and approved on 10 June from North Warwickshire Borough Council, Warwickshire Council and Rugby Borough Council. It is proposed the first mayoral Election will take place in May 2017.

What will being a Non-constituent Member mean?

- 5 The Marches LEP is looking to do business with other LEPs and partners in Wales and the West Midlands as well as with central Government in pursuit of policy intentions for :-
 - transport, housing and broadband and mobile infrastructure that will lead to improved physical and digital connectivity;
 - support to business including expansion of advance manufacturing – engineering, agri-food/agri-tech;
 - housebuilding growth and other efforts to optimise land assets including public sector land; and
 - for skilling up of the workforce across all ages and a range of sectors; in particular in higher level skills.
- 6 Becoming a non-constituent member of the WMCA will allow the Marches LEP to further collaborate with stakeholders (LEPs and Local Authorities) at a regional and sub-regional level, and with Government at a national level, in order to maximise the opportunities for the LEP Area to realise its policy intentions for the long term. It will also allow the LEP to build on the actions it is already supporting via the Midlands Engine and Midlands Connect and support its review of the Marches Strategic Economic Plan in which the LEP will identify the common challenges.
- 7 The Marches LEP will be well placed to capitalise on its own geographical position as a bridge between the North West and the West Midlands, plus its proximity to Wales and the importance of its arterial transport routes through to Ireland via Holyhead and the connections with the cities and ports of south Wales.

- 8 It should be noted LEPs can only be Non-Constituent Members of Combined Authorities and initially our role will be as an observer. Also, those Local Authorities not named in the WMCA Devolution deal signed in November 2015 will not be able to directly benefit from this Deal.

Alternative options

- 9 It should be noted that the three Local Authorities have decided to pursue Non-Constituent Membership of the WMCA rather than submit a Marches Devolution proposal. This is because the Government was unlikely to have progressed our Marches proposal due to it not meeting its Combined Authority and Mayoral governance requirements.

Financial implications

- 10 Each non-constituent member contributes £25k for their Membership. This is the figure for 2016/17. The LEP team will also need to attend meetings mainly in Birmingham so there will be additional staff travel costs plus staff time to represent the LEP at relevant meetings. However the existing non-constituent LEP Members do share the attendance of the meetings between them.

Legal implications

- 11 The Cities and Local Government Devolution Act 2016, which received Royal Assent on 28 January 2016, provides for the creation of a directly-elected mayor of a combined authority to exercise specified functions e.g. economic development, regeneration and transport, etc. It also allows public authority functions to be conferred on a combined authority and enables the changing of local government structures e.g. mergers of councils and moves to unitary structures.
- 12 The powers to establish a combined authority are detailed in the Local Government, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009.
- 13 The regeneration, economic development and transport functions of Telford and Wrekin, Shropshire and Herefordshire Council cannot be undertaken by the WMCA, but remain with these council's.

Risks, opportunities and impacts

- 14 By being a non constituent member the Marches LEP will be made aware of the strategic programmes and projects being developed in the WMCA area which have synergy with Marches LEP priorities and by aligning these priorities they are likely to have more impact with Government e.g. A5 duelling, M54 development, HS2, Post-16 Skills budgets.
- 15 If the LEP does not join there is a risk is that it could be seen as ignoring an opportunity to be in at the beginning and work with /support our three Local Authorities and the wider partnership of the WMCA.

- 16 The MEJC and the LEP Board need to ensure limited LEP resources are not diverted/ committed on WMCA work, including LEP Board and MEJC member time, at the expense of core LEP project and programme delivery and management.

Consultation

- 17 Telford and Wrekin Council applied to become a Non-Constituent Member of the WMCA in October 2015. Shropshire Council decided to apply in April 2016 to become a non-constituent member. Both Councils have indicated they would like the LEP to join the WMCA as a non-constituent member. Also Herefordshire Council is considering applying to become a Non-Constituent Member and has indicated it would also like the LEP to apply to join the WMCA.
- 18 Martin Reeves the CEO of the WMCA sought the views of the WMCA Constituent Members and Non-Constituent members on 29 June 2016 and they indicated they would welcome the Marches LEP becoming a non-constituent member along with Greater Birmingham and Solihull LEP, Coventry and Warwickshire LEP and Black Country LEP.
- 19 Tony Bray, the Area Director for BIS has indicated he would welcome the Marches LEP becoming a non-constituent member as he sees there would be value in aligning common strategic priorities and the Marches LEP, where appropriate, delivering its actions through wider strategic partnerships e.g. on transport and skills . He indicated we should also pursue other strategic partnerships e.g. with Wales.

Appendices

None.

Background papers

None



| | |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Marches enterprise joint committee | |
| Meeting date: | 20 July 2016 |
| Title of report: | New Growth Deal Submission |

Classification

Open

Appendix 3: Exempt from publication by virtue of paragraph 3 of the Access to Information Procedure Rules set out in the constitution pursuant to Schedule 12A Local Government Act 1972, as amended.

Key Decision

This is a key decision because it is likely to be significant in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising one or more wards in the Marches local enterprise partnership (LEP) area; and

Notice has been served in accordance with Part 3, Section 10 (General Exception) of the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) Regulations 2012

Purpose

To agree the bid for funding support from the local growth fund to support economic growth across the Marches.

Recommendation(s)

THAT: the prioritised project list attached at Appendix 2 be approved for the New Growth Deal submission to Government by 28th July 2016

Reasons for recommendations

- 1 To comply with government bidding requirements and deadlines.

Summary

Background

- 2 On 12th April 2016, the Chairman of the Marches LEP received a letter from the Rt Hon Greg Clark MP, Secretary of State for Communities & Local Government, inviting the Marches LEP to submit proposals for the next round of Growth Deal, a

Further information on the subject of this report is available from Gill Hamer, Director, The Marches LEP, gill.hamer@marcheslep.org.uk

competitive process among the 39 LEPs (see Appendix 1).

Process for Submission

3 Details of the submission process have emerged over the last couple of weeks, as follows:-

- **24 June** – LEPs were required to submit a “snapshot” providing a strategic overview of their approach to investment for growth and assurance, governance & advancements in developing partnerships, project delivery progress to date and summarising our financial ‘ask’. Details of the projects were not required. The submission had to convey the level of ambition.
- **19 July** – LEP Deputy Chair and Director to attend to a challenge session with the Rt Hon Anna Soubry MP, Minister for Small Business, Industry and Enterprise in London to discuss the snapshot and convey the Marches LEP’s ambition and our progress as a developing partnership.
- **28 July** - LEPs are required to submit:
 - A narrative which fleshes out the snapshot in more detail and references proposed projects
 - A prioritised list of projects detailing the financial package and outputs
 - A set of LEP paperwork (to be agreed with government) that enables an assessment to be undertaken of the LEP’s approach to assurance around value for money.
- **Autumn Statement** – Announcement on outcome of the submission.

Assessment & Prioritisation of Projects

4 In working with project promoters (universities, colleges, councils) to develop a draft project list, the LEP Team has followed the project development, appraisal and prioritisation process set out in the LEP’s Assurance Framework. Project appraisal has been undertaken by an independent technical evaluator (KPMG) which has assessed schemes in line with Treasury Green Book and Dept. for Transport value for money requirements. The KPMG Appraisal Team has extensive experience in government appraisal processes and, specifically, in developing the Growth Deal appraisal process for Dept. for Communities & Local Government. A representative from KPMG attended the 5th July Board meeting to provide a summary of the report and answer questions arising. See Appendix 3 (exempt item)

Key Considerations in agreeing a Prioritised List of Projects

5 The key criteria that the government is looking for in the Growth Deal submission are:-

- The rationale for public sector investment
- A strong economic case that details the return upon that investment
- Good value for money and private sector leverage and

Further information on the subject of this report is available from
Gill Hamer, Director, The Marches LEP, gill.hamer@marcheslep.org.uk

- A phased funding requirement, phasing LGF investment towards later years (2020/21).
- 6 Informal advice from civil servants in DCLG has been that the submission should provide the overall amount of Local Growth Funds we are seeking, broken down into our main themes and an indication of what this ‘buys’ e.g. jobs, housing, leverage.
- 7 KPMG has appraised and prioritised the Marches long list of projects against these criteria. The LEP Board considered this list and agreed that all projects with a positive appraisal score be put forward. There are two additional projects which the Board felt could be improved further so more time has been given to those project promoters, the projects will be appraised again by KPMG and if a positive score results they will be included in the submission. The project list in Appendix 2 provides members with the headline details of the projects to be submitted, the two requiring further work are in *italic text*. The projects are listed under three key themes: business support and innovation; apprenticeships and higher level skills; and jobs and employment sites.

The Scale of the Local Growth Fund Ask

- 8 The scale of the total project list ask from the Local Growth Fund (LGF) pot would be £67.9m (including the two to be worked up further), see Appendix 2. The LEP Board took the decision to select projects which provide a strong rationale for investment, good value for money & private sector leverage and phased funding in later years. The proposed projects should create over 8000 jobs and nearly 5000 new homes, nearly 50ha of employment land will be opened up and over 250,000 metres of commercial floor space made available, 1000 undergraduate and 80 post graduate places will be available, 480 new apprenticeships created and 670 additional learners supported.

Alternative options

- 9 The committee may decide that a submission should not be put forward to the Government, however it is highly unlikely that alternative or additional funds will be made available by the Government during this parliamentary term.
- 10 The LEP team invited partner organisations and the three councils to submit project proposals, these projects were independently assessed and the projects with the strongest business cases as identified by KPMG that represent strong rationale for investment, good value for money & private sector leverage and phased funding in later years have been selected.

Financial implications

- 11 Project promoters and private sector delivery partners will be expected to provide matched funding towards any secured Growth Deal funding and the submission process requires the delivery partners to confirm availability of match funds towards their individual schemes. The required level of matched funding has not been specified in informal advice provided by government, however, Greg Clark’s letter notes that a higher level of private sector leverage is expected than that provided in previous rounds.

Legal implications

- 12 The submission of a new Growth Deal bid does not lead to any contractual commitments for the LEP and, should funding be secured, approval of the individual schemes will be subject to the respective project promoter governance approvals prior to implementation.

Risks, opportunities and impacts

- 13 The new Growth Deal submission follows a prescribed process set by government. If the LEP, in partnership with the respective project promoters (universities, FE colleges and councils), wishes to gain a funding contribution from the Growth Deal Fund there is no alternative to the process.
- 14 There is a risk that government may decide to fund none of our projects. In mitigation, project promoters have developed business cases for each project which KPMG has independently reviewed to enable partners to improve the strength of their projects. KPMG has appraised each project business case in line with government criteria, to inform their appraisal and prioritisation report. This work presents the position at a point in time. It was a valuable tool for the LEP Board in reaching their decision on prioritisation and for the LEP Team and project promoters in assessing further work requirements to get prioritised projects ready for delivery.
- 15 Should funding be secured there will be a variety of risks associated with the development of individual projects. As with previous Growth Deals, these will continue to be identified and mitigated within the further project development work.
- 16 The invitation to bid, set out in Greg Clark's letter, provides an opportunity to undertake a further stage of delivery of the LEP's strategic economic plan and to secure investment towards economic growth for the Marches area.

Consultation

- 17 The LEP Board has been consulted at three board meetings (15th March 2016, 24th May 2016 and 5th July 2016) on the key dates for Growth Deal 3, the letter from Greg Clark and the timetable and process for independent appraisal leading to submission, and the projects which had been appraised.

Appendices

Appendix 1: letter from Secretary of State for communities and local government - 12 April 2016

Appendix 2: The list of projects agreed by the LEP Board for recommendation to MEJC

Appendix 3: KPMG Appraisal and Prioritisation Summary Report – (exempt from publication)

Background papers

None identified



Department for Communities and Local Government

Graham Wynn
Cameron House
Knights Court Archers Way
Battlefield Enterprise Park
Shrewsbury
SY1 3GA

graham.wynn@marcheslep.org.uk

The Rt Hon Greg Clark MP
*Secretary of State for Communities
and Local Government*

Department for Communities and Local Government

Fry Building
2 Marsham Street
London
SW1P 4DF

Tel: 0303 444 3450

Fax: 020 7035 0018

Email:

greg.clark@communities.gsi.gov.uk

www.gov.uk/dclg

12 April 2016

Competing for Growth – Further Growth Deals

Across the country, Local Enterprise Partnerships have used the existing Growth Deals to build stronger local economies. Every new job created as a result of a Growth Deal makes someone's life better: there's little more important work than this.

I am delighted, therefore, to invite proposals for the **next round of Growth Deals**.

We are looking for even more ambition in this round: the competition is open to every LEP, but no area is entitled to a particular share of funding. We'll make the awards on the basis of the merits of the cases you make, in light of the criteria I outline below. The stronger your proposal, the greater your chance of success - it's that simple.

Here are the criteria we will use in our review:

- You should explain how new funding will help to increase growth in your area, over and above the impact of your existing Growth Deal. What barriers (in transport, skills, housing supply, for example) could be overcome by new investment? **Propose a specific figure** for funding, and describe the purpose to which it would be put. (The e-mail you received from Tony Bray, the BIS Local Deputy Director for the West Midlands, made clear the funding for which everyone is competing). As in previous rounds, I will look for you to provide details on what your proposals will deliver in terms of job creation, investment and housing, as well as what will be required to achieve this in terms of cost and capacity.
- **Strong collaboration between your partnership and the local area** must underpin your proposal. This work must be owned by both political and business leaders in your area.
- The need for **stronger, reformed governance structures** implies that proposals that are aligned with mayoral Combined Authorities (or proposed Combined

Authorities) will have an advantage. You would do well to outline the positive role your partnership is taking in strengthening local governance.

- As your Partnership will be more engaged with local business now than was the case in 2014, your proposal should include **a greater level of private sector investment** than in previous rounds, as well as match funding from other bodies such as universities. My expectation is that LEPs will have SME representation on their Board and I would like to see a proposition on how you will implement this in your proposal.
- Your strategy should **engage with government's key objectives within the wider local context** (such as plans for housing delivery and the area reviews into further education).
- And, of course, the **delivery of existing Growth Deals** will play a part in my consideration of proposals. We expect your proposals to set out the systems in place to ensure value for money and proper use of public money.

Your proposal will also be seen in the context of your bid, should you make one, for Local Transport Majors funding. Local Transport Majors funding allows several areas to fund transport projects beyond that which individual Local Enterprise Partnerships have previously delivered. Ministerial colleagues in the Department for Transport will write to you shortly to explain how that funding will be awarded.

Your new Growth Deal proposal should be submitted by summer recess, and my officials will contact you in due course regarding your challenge session. I intend to announce the winners of this competition around the time of this year's Autumn Statement. BIS Local teams are ready to support you in preparing your proposals — make good use of them.

To support this round of funding, I was pleased to announce continued core funding for LEPs into 2017-18, to enable you to plan for the future with confidence. We will provide further guidance on this in due course.

I hope you share my excitement about this new round of Growth Deals, and look forward to reading your proposals.



Rt Hon Greg Clark MP

CC. Gill Hamer, Director Marches LEP

| Growth Deal Prioritised Project List as agreed at Marches LEP Board - 5/07/2016 | | | | | |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Themes | Project name | Outputs | Local Growth Deal | Match funding | [Total Scheme Costs] |
| Business support and innovation | | | | | |
| | Shell Store incubation centre | 1,750m ² of commercial floor space; 466 jobs and 95 businesses supported over 15 years; cumulative GVA contribution of £152m. Predicted annual GVA workplace contribution of £24.97m. | £3m | Total Match £4m £2m ERDF , £0.25m in kind contribution from Herefordshire Council, £1.75m Herefordshire Council cash contribution via prudential borrowing. | [Total Scheme Cost £7m] |
| | Hereford centre for cyber security | 100-130 FTE construction jobs, creation of 5 new SMEs, 15 FTE jobs created by the centre, 175 jobs supported through businesses, £5.5m of business investment unlocked, 78 training courses delivered. Sum total of direct, indirect and induced GVA contribution estimated to be £32.7m by 2021. | £3m | Total Match £6m Wolverhampton University £3m ESIF (ERDF) £3m | [Total Scheme Cost £9m] |
| | Newport innovation and enterprise package | 1,350 new homes (incl. 1,070 attributable to the highways scheme), 950 FTEs, £42m annual uplift in GVA. | £7.5m | Total Match £3m £1.9m secured through S106 agreements.£1m public sector contribution from HCA land deal. £100k from TWC highways capital programme. | [Total Scheme Cost £10.5m] |
| | | | LGF £13.5m | | |
| Apprenticeships and higher level skills | | | | | |
| | New model in technology & engineering (NMITE) | By 2022: 9,400m ² teaching and administrative space; 1000 undergraduates, 80 postgraduates; 141 direct jobs, 1,346 job created; GVA added annually £34m; companies supported 450. | £10m | Total Match £9.9m £9.9m from EIB and/or PWLB and/or commercial loans. Corporate and philanthropic donations: 4 @ £475k pa 2017-2020 | [Total Scheme Cost £19.9m] |
| | * <i>Investing in our future workforce</i> | <i>480 new apprentices, 670 additional learners, support to an additional 5 start-ups</i> | <i>£3.4m</i> | Total Match £840k <i>£840k</i> | [Total Scheme Cost £4.2m] |
| | | | LGF £13.4m | | |
| Jobs and employment sites | | | | | |
| | Model Farm enterprise park | 469 jobs, 10ha of employment land which will provide 29,000m ² of commercial floor space. The new jobs will provide a GVA uplift of £103m until 2030 with ongoing benefits. | £4m | Total Match £32.5m £3m private sector match from sale of plots,£29m private sector premises construction costs £500k Herefordshire Council provision of existing site/land value as match funding. | [Total Scheme Cost £36.5m] |
| | Enterprise Telford - advanced manufacturing and innovation corridor | 4,500 new homes (1,250 attributable to this package), 1,650 FTEs | £16m | Total Match £3m £300k from TWC highways capital programme contribution £1.7m in S106 contributions, £1m public sector contribution | [Total Scheme Cost £19m] |
| | Shrewsbury Flaxmill Maltings | 146 housing/business units delivered. 24m ² of business space to be created, around 1000 jobs created. | £2m TBC | Total Match £0.4m £0.4m spend by the developer partner | [Total Scheme Cost £2.4m] |
| | Oswestry Innovation Park | 47,300m ² of floor space (B1, B2 and B8 uses), 900 new homes, 1400 jobs | £13m (max) | Total Match £0.9m Already committed: £454k committed by Shropshire Council, £160k Shropshire Council and Marches LEP, approx £250k Highways Agency, £50k Shropshire Council | [Total Scheme Cost £13.9m] |
| | Leominster sustainable urban extension | 1500 new homes by 2031 (inc 300 affordable), new primary school, 10ha new employment land accommodating 469 new jobs, 1.5miles new road | £5m | Total Match £7m £7m S106 developer contributions | [Total Scheme Cost £12m] |
| | * <i>Residential Opportunities Fund (Telford)</i> | <i>Delivery of 100 previously stalled homes</i> | <i>£1m</i> | Total Match £9m <i>£9m provided by the private sector as investment towards construction costs</i> | [Total Scheme Cost £10m] |
| | | | LGF £41m | | |
| | | | Total LGF request: £67.9m | Total Match £76.5m | [Total Scheme Cost £144.4m] |

* Projects in italic text are being strengthened, if after re-appraisal they have a positive score they will be included in the submission.

Document is Restricted

